

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application Serial No. 10/817,389
Filing Date April 2, 2004
Inventorship Nguyen et al.
Applicant Rambus Inc.
Group Art Unit 2817
Examiner Choe, Henry
Attorney's Docket No. RB1-0030USC2

Title: Collective Automatic Gain Control

REPLY TO A NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION

DATED (MAILED) 12/21/2004

UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111

To: Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

From: Keith W. Saunders (Tel. 509-324-9256, ext. 238; Fax 509-323-8979)
Customer No. 49649

INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS

The instant Patent Application was filed on 04/02/2004. A previous Office Action dated (mailed) 08/30/2004 instituted an election of species requirement. In a previous Reply, a species corresponding to claims 1-30 was elected.

A current and non-final Office Action is dated (mailed) 12/21/2004. The current Office Action rejected claims 1-30. However, claims 1-30 were rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting. Only claims 1-3, 9, 13, 15, and 21 were rejected based on art.

This current Reply is responsive to the current Office Action. With this current Reply, no claims are canceled or added. Hence, claims 1-30 continue to be presented for examination.